The effect of surface flooding on the physical–biogeochemical dynamics of a warm-core eddy off southeast Australia
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A B S T R A C T
Warm-core eddies (WCEs) formed from the East Australian Current (EAC) play an important role in the heat, mass and biogeochemical budgets of the western Tasman Sea. The development and separation of an EAC WCE during July–December 2008 was observed using remotely sensed temperature, ocean colour and sea-level elevation, three Argo floats, a shipboard CTD, a shelf mooring array and a 15-day deployment of a Slocum glider. The eddy formed from an EAC meander during the first half of 2008 and in late August had a ~ 275 m deep surface mixed layer. In the two months before separation in early December, fresher and warmer EAC water flooded the top of the eddy, submerging the winter mixed layer. The rate of vertical transport due to submergence was estimated to be between 1 and 6 Sv, at the time accounting for a significant fraction of the mean southward flow of the EAC. The core of the eddy had a surface chlorophyll a concentration of < 0.4 mg m⁻² throughout the observations. A 20–40 m thick pycnocline formed at the interface of the flooding surface waters and the submerged layer. Chlorophyll a concentration in the pycnocline ranged from 0.5 to 2 mg m⁻³, with depth-integrated concentration ranging between 25 and 75 mg m⁻². The development of a sub-surface maximum suggests that flooding increased light levels in the pycnocline. Elevated levels of coloured dissolved organic matter in the submerged layer correspond to oxygen depletion, suggesting respiration of organic matter. A comparison is made with observations from WCEs in 1978 and 1997 in which, unusually, surface flooding did not occur, but solar heating stratified the top 50 m. In the two eddies with surface capping, surface chlorophyll a concentrations were an order of magnitude higher than the 2008 flooded eddy, but depth-integrated chlorophyll a was similar. These findings suggest that EAC WCEs with relatively shallow surface flooding contain more phytoplankton biomass than surface images would suggest, with the vertical position of the chlorophyll a maximum depending on whether, and to what depth, the winter surface mixed layer is submerger.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Mesoscale eddies are a common feature in the open ocean and in particular in boundary currents, where they are sometimes referred to as rings (Mann and Lazier, 2006). The water in a warm-core eddy (WCE) is less dense than the surrounding water, elevating the sea-level and creating a negative surface pressure gradient in the radial (outward) direction. The pressure gradient is roughly in geostrophic balance with the tangential velocity, which, in the Southern Hemisphere, results in a counter-clockwise rotation (Bakun, 2006).

A simple conceptual model of the biological dynamics of a WCE considers the warm water at the core of the eddy to be nutrient-poor relative to the surrounding waters. Colder nutrient-rich water at depth below the centre of the eddy does not mix readily with the less-dense surface waters, although vertical circulation at the edges may occur along lines of equal density (Bakun, 2006). In this simple model WCEs are biologically unproductive, but may have elevated production at their perimeter.

WCEs created by strong boundary currents, such as the Gulf Stream in the northwestern Atlantic (Franks et al., 1986) or the Kuroshio Current in the northwestern Pacific (Yoshimori and Kishi, 1994), are often more complicated than the simple conceptual
model above. Formed by meanders of a warm-current in a cooler surrounding ocean these boundary current WCEs can entrain continental shelf and slope waters with their associated biological communities. Additionally, convective cooling as they move poleward can result in vertical mixing events. Further complications can result from varying physical properties of the boundary current (Cresswell, 1983), surface wind–stress (Mahadevan et al., 2008) or eddy–eddy interactions (Nilsson and Cresswell, 1981). These physical complications, especially when they drive vertical circulation (Yoshimi and Kishi, 1994), can alter the biological dynamics within and surrounding an eddy. The understanding of biophysical processes in boundary current mesoscale eddies may need to be tailored for each of the major current systems (e.g. Waite et al., 2007).

The role of mesoscale processes and in particular WCEs (Hamon, 1965; Cresswell and Legeckis, 1986; Ridgway and Dunn, 2003; Brassington et al., 2011) in the western Tasman Sea has long been recognised as both important and complex (Cresswell, 1983). WCEs off southeast Australia are formed from low-nutrient sub-tropical water that is advected south as part of the East Australian Current (EAC). Eddies separate from the main flow intermittently, with a mean interval of 90–180 days (Mata et al., 2006; Wilkin and Zhang, 2007), and generally migrate parallel to the Australian coast in a poleward direction. The path of an individual eddy can be complicated by reabsorption by the EAC (Nilsson and Cresswell, 1981), coalescence of one or more eddies (Cresswell, 1982), or injections of filaments of surrounding water into the eddy (Scott, 1981; Cresswell, 1983).

The biological processes within (Tranter et al., 1980; Griffiths and Wadley, 1986) and surrounding (Tranter et al., 1983, 1986; Young, 1989) EAC WCEs were extensively studied between 1975 and 1982. During this time CSIRO produced a sequential naming of eddies that was adopted consistently by authors at the time (Airey, 1983). The differences in phytoplankton species from within and surrounding an EAC WCE (Eddy Mario) was found to be minor, although concentrations were elevated when compared to the tropical Coral Sea water from which it had formed (Jeffrey and Hallegraeff, 1987). In contrast, crustaceans inside another EAC WCE (Eddy P) was a mixture of warm-water and cold-water species and was different from the crustacean fauna in either the Tasman Sea or the Coral Sea (Griffiths and Wadley, 1986). It has also been proposed that EAC WCEs result in enhanced fish catches (Young, 1989) EAC WCEs were extensively studied between 1975 and 1981; Cresswell, 1983).

The biological processes within (Tranter et al., 1980, 1983; Griffiths and Wadley, 1986) and surrounding (Tranter et al., 1983, 1986; Young, 1989) EAC WCEs were extensively studied between 1975 and 1982. During this time CSIRO produced a sequential naming of eddies that was adopted consistently by authors at the time (Airey, 1983). The differences in phytoplankton species from within and surrounding an EAC WCE (Eddy Mario) was found to be minor, although concentrations were elevated when compared to the tropical Coral Sea water from which it had formed (Jeffrey and Hallegraeff, 1987). In contrast, crustaceans inside another EAC WCE (Eddy P) was a mixture of warm-water and cold-water species and was different from the crustacean fauna in either the Tasman Sea or the Coral Sea (Griffiths and Wadley, 1986). It has also been proposed that EAC WCEs result in enhanced fish catches (Young et al., 2001). EAC WCEs are not simply anti-clockwise rotating masses of Coral Sea water found anomalously south, but have dynamic physical processes that drive biological processes.

The biological processes in two eddies, Eddy F in 1978 and Eddy J in 1980, were carefully investigated for their contrasting biological response. In Eddy F there was anomalously high chlorophyll a in the surface mixed layer (Tranter et al., 1980; Jeffrey and Hallegraeff, 1980). Tranter et al. (1980) explained the surface phytoplankton bloom by the easing of light limitation of phytoplankton growth in the eddy. Initially Eddy F had a > 200 m deep surface mixed layer. In late spring solar heating increased the water temperature near the surface, forming a surface mixed layer of 50 m. Phytoplankton in the top 50 m were able to utilise the high nutrients remaining in the top 50 m. The next two sections consider the physical and biogeochemical processes undertaken. Finally, a comparison is made with two eddies that did not incur surface flooding, but instead developed a surface cap.

2. Material and methods

Remotely sensed observations. Surface temperature and colour data were extracted from the NASA Ocean Color website. MODIS data used is processed to Level 2 (temperature and chlorophyll a algorithms applied on the orbital swath), while the SeaWiFS and CZCS data are further processed by spatial interpolation onto a regular grid (Level 3). Satellite altimeter data were provided by NASA/CNES (Topex/Poseidon, Jason-1, -2) and ESA (ERS2, ENVISAT) and mapped for the Australian region as described by Deng et al. (2010).

Glider mission. A Slocum glider was deployed off Port Stephens (32 44 S, 152 14 E) on the southeast Australian coast at 10:55 am on 25 November 2008 and retrieved off Jervis Bay (35 3 S, 151 16 E) on 11 December 2008 (Fig. 1). The Slocum glider is an autonomous underwater vehicle that uses changes in buoyancy to move in a sawtooth trajectory with a forward velocity of $0.25 – 0.4$ m s$^{-1}$. The glider generally undulated between the surface and 180 m, depth permitting. For a period between 5 and 7 December the glider’s maximum depth was reduced to 100 m to aid navigation. The glider path was primarily determined by the ambient current, which initially advected it south in a filament of the EAC which was flooding the surface of the WCE. The glider velocity relative to the flow was generally steered toward the centre of the eddy from deployment until 3 December and then away from the centre until recovery. This produced a track that spiralled close to the centre of the eddy and then back to the perimeter while undertaking one rotation (Fig. 2).
The glider sensors included: a Seabird-CTD, a WETLabs BBFL2SLO 3 parameter optical sensor (measuring chlorophyll $a$, coloured dissolved organic matter (CDOM, Kirk, 1994) and backscatter at 660 nm) and an Aanderaa oxygen optode. The most recent calibration of the optode was a four point factory calibration undertaken on 21 September 2007 and which was found to have an accuracy of $\pm 5\%$.

The depth-averaged ocean current relative to the ground is calculated using GPS co-ordinates at each surfacing ($\sim 4$ hourly), and an estimate of glider velocity relative to the flow.

The effect of thermal lag on calculations of salinity from conductivity have been corrected using the approach of Morison et al. (1994) with an e-folding time of the temperature error, $t_o$, of 14 s and the initial amplitude of the error for a unit change in temperature, $\alpha$, of 0.13.

The WETLabs BBFL2SLO deployed on the Slocum glider determines fluorescence from excitation/emission wavelengths of 470/695 nm. Factory calibrations are used to convert fluorescence to chlorophyll $a$. In situ measured fluorescence intensity is reduced at high ambient light intensities, a process called non-photochemical quenching (Falkowski and Kolber, 1995; Sackmann et al., 2008). To correct for the reduced estimate of chlorophyll $a$ during daylight hours, a light-dependent correction of chlorophyll $a$ for the deployment has been developed: $chl_{cor} = chl_{uncor}(1 + I_z/300)$ where $chl_{cor}$ is the corrected estimate of chlorophyll $a$, $chl_{uncor}$ is the estimate of chlorophyll $a$ without correction, and $I_z$ is the light at depth $z$ of the observation.

For the calculation of $I_z$, surface irradiance is calculated from orbital cycles (Brock, 1981) and a 6 hourly averaged reduction in surface irradiance due to atmospheric conditions obtained from the NCEP reanalysis (Kalnay et al., 1996). Light intensity below the surface is calculated considering surface albedo as a function of azimuth angle, refraction of light at the air/water interface and attenuation due to clear water and water column chlorophyll (Baird et al., 2007).

The value of 300 W m$^{-2}$ in the above equation is based on minimising (1) the vertical gradient of chlorophyll $a$ in the top 40 m on 1 December when the glider was close to the centre of the eddy; and (2) the difference between day and night time surface readings from 1 to 8 December. The approach of using in situ light intensity to correct for quenching is similar to Behrenfeld and Boss (2006) wherein it uses light intensity and a one-parameter empirical fit. The approach applied in this paper slightly outperformed Behrenfeld and Boss (2006) for this deployment, probably due to the extreme light levels.

Shipboard CTD. During an RV Southern Surveyor cruise (SS10/2008) 47 CTD stations were undertaken primarily in shelf and slope waters between Broughton Island (32° 30’ S) to the north and Sydney (34° S) to the south. In this paper data are used from CTD station 12 located at 32° 50’ S, 154° 00’ E on 14 October 2008 at 12:30 am AEST.
The station was ∼ 72 km north of the centre of the EAC meander that develops into the WCE which is the focus of this paper.

Nutrient analysis of bottle samples followed techniques described by Cowley et al. (1999) and has an approximate accuracy of 0.02 μM. Oxygen from bottle samples were determined using titration with an accuracy of 0.02 ml L−1. The CTD was fitted with a Seabird SBE911 with dual conductivity and temperature sensors, a SBE43DO dissolved oxygen sensor, a Biospherical PAR sensor and the Chelsea AquaTracker Fluorometer. CO2 measurements at the CTD station were undertaken by an underway system using a General Oceanics Inc. automated system (Model 8050) as part of the EMOS Ships Of Opportunity (SOOP) program. Hassler et al. (2011) undertakes further analysis of the nutrient and phytoplankton observations during this cruise, and refers to CTD station 12 as Stn 3.

Argo floats. The vertical profiles of temperature and salinity from three Argo floats were used: 5901659 profile 10 on 17 July; 5901619 profile 49 on 23 August; and 5900562 profiles 147, 148 and 149 on 20 and 30 October and 10 November (Fig. 1). No Argo floats observed the eddy after separation. For salinity the adjusted salinity field from the Global Argo Data Repository was used. Comparison of adjusted salinity with nearby floats at 2000 m suggests that the archived adjusted salinity of float 5900562 was consistently 0.015 high (pers. comm. Esmee Van Wijk), and a correction was applied before use in this study.

Sydney mooring array. The Sydney mooring array consists of the Sydney Water Ocean Reference Station (ORS065) that has been maintained since 1991, and the IMOS moorings SYD100 and SYD140 that form a shore normal line extending from Bondi, Sydney (33°55’S). Data have been used from 11 November until 8 December 2008. The ADCP on SYD140 failed between 11 November and 5 December.

Calculation of eddy period. The rotation rate of the eddy can be estimated from the curl of the velocity field, from Lagrangian paths or from tangential speed. Angular velocity, \( \theta \), is given by half the vorticity (the curl of the velocity field) in radian per second. The time to complete one solid body rotation is given by \( 2 \pi / \theta \).

3. Results
3.1. Eddy formation

During 2008 WCEs separated from the main EAC flow in mid January and early December. The 11-month gap between separation events is much longer than the typical 90–180 day interval determined from multi-year analyses (Mata et al., 2006). The first WCE of 2008 separated around 20 January as a result of its southward migration, and the simultaneous westward propagation of a cold core eddy at 33°30’S. This study focuses on the 2nd eddy of 2008 which began to grow as a new meander after the first eddy had separated. Calculated geostrophic surface currents based on satellite altimetry (not shown) indicate that for the first few months of 2008 the new meander received EAC waters from the north, and lost water to the south. By May 2008 the meander, now centred at 33°3, 155°E, directed flow primarily to the east as part of the Tasman Front Jet (not shown). This state in the eddy formation was still evident at the beginning of September by which time the centre had moved to 34°S, 154°E (Figs. 1 and 3A).
On 17 July an Argo profile ~48 km southwest of the centre of the eddy (Fig. 1, Argo float 5900659) observed the surface mixed layer extending to a depth of 220 m (Fig. 4A), with a salinity of 35.59 and a temperature of 20.1 °C. By 23 August the mixed layer had deepened to at least 275 m (Fig. 4B, with a salinity of 35.59 and a temperature of 20.1 °C). The water at the core of the meander is significantly cooler than the EAC flowing around the edge of the eddy. The flooding creates a submerged layer, which is relatively evenly across the eddy. The spring EAC water that flooded the eddy forms a new surface layer between 60 and 100 m deep with the original mixed layer lying beneath.

The next available vertical profile within the eddy is from the shipboard CTD (Fig. 4C, with a salinity of 35.65 over a 3 month period, as seen in the CTD profile (Fig. 4C), Argo float profiles (Fig. 4D) and during the glider deployment (Fig. 4E). Along the glider track from 29 November to 8 December, covering a distance of 600 km and moving between the edge and centre of the eddy, the salinity of the submerged layer varied between 35.64 and 35.66 (Fig. 4E, grey lines below 100 m, Fig. 5). Out of contact with surface heat and freshwater fluxes, the submerged layer maintains a relatively constant temperature of ~19.2 °C and salinity of ~35.65.

The salinity observations of the separated eddy (Fig. 5) show a relatively constant depth (60–100 m) of the bottom of the low salinity surface layer across the eddy. This demonstrates that the flooding that began by encircling the eddy eventually spread relatively evenly across the eddy. The spring EAC water that flooded the eddy forms a new surface layer between 60 and 100 m deep with the original mixed layer lying beneath.

Remotely sensed SST and sea-level elevation indicates the final injection of EAC water into the eddy ceased about 3 December (not shown), as the eddy separated completely from the main EAC flow. On 28–29 November, the Slocum glider sampled a fresh filament of EAC water that was part of the last injection of water into the WCE before separation from the EAC flow (Fig. 5, top 60 m, 100–230 km along transect, S < 35.54). The mooring array on the continental shelf off Sydney (Fig. 6) measured strong southward velocities (up to 1.25 m s⁻¹ at the surface) of 19–22 °C water from 25 November until 3 December (Fig. 6B). Further evidence of a filament can be seen on the top 40–60 m at the 140 m isobath (Fig. 6C) as indicated by > 20 °C waters. The inshore mooring (Fig. 6A) has cooler surface temperatures and only moderate southward velocities that suggest the water flowing past it was not entrained into the eddy.

The glider observations of the final EAC filament to flood the eddy (with S < 35.55) give a filament depth of 60–80 m, which approximately corresponds to the depth of the ~0.5 m s⁻¹ contour at the SYD100 mooring (Fig. 6). The width of the S < 35.55 filament perpendicular to the flow at 33°S is ~25 km (based on a 1 km MODIS SST image on 28 November, not shown).

3.2. Physical characteristics of the separated eddy

The eddy separated around 3 December and by 13 December was an isolated water mass that could be defined by surface
temperatures above 20 °C, positive sea-level elevation anomaly and surface chlorophyll a concentration < 0.25 mg m⁻³ (Figs. 3C and 7C). The surface area of the eddy can be approximated assuming an elliptical shape. On 13 December, ~10 days after the final injection of EAC water, the eddy had major and minor axes of lengths ~232 and ~196 km (Fig. 7C), giving a surface area of 143 x 10⁹ m².

The time to complete 1 lap of the eddy can be estimated by three methods. On 30 November, the curl of the surface velocity field calculated from geostrophic flow of the observed sea-level elevation is ~ 1.6 rad d⁻¹, so the time to complete a revolution is 7.9 days. Lagrangian paths calculated using a stationary velocity field suggest that close to the centre of the eddy it takes 6 days to return to the same geographical location. Thirdly, a regression of tangential speed versus radial distance gives a period of 8.4 days. For the glider moving along a 0–200 m depth undulating path with relative glider velocity primarily in a tangential direction, the time to make one rotation (return to the same geographical location) was 7.0 days.

3.3. Biogeochemical properties

Surface chlorophyll a concentrations of the eddy throughout the study period were low (Fig. 7). During formation, surface chlorophyll a concentration at the eddy centre was 0.2 mg m⁻³ (Fig. 7A). Outside the eddy to the southwest concentrations exceeded 1 mg m⁻³. The mean spring surface chlorophyll a concentration in the western Tasman Sea varies between 0.2 and 0.5 mg m⁻³ (Condie and Dunn, 2006). Surface filaments of up to 0.4 mg m⁻³ occurred in the EAC waters that flooded the eddy in September (Fig. 7A). Calculated geostrophic currents (Fig. 3A) suggest that this high chlorophyll water was likely to have been advected northeast out of the eddy rather than retained in the eddy after separation.

The CTD station on 14 October, 72 km north of the eddy centre, was undertaken 50 days before eddy separation, and was the first vertical profile in the eddy after flooding began (Fig. 4C). The flooding was complicated as shown by two layers of lower salinity water near the surface (0–25 m: 35.38; 25–100 m: 35.6).
Nonetheless, a submerged layer with a salinity of 35.65 was present from 100 to 250 m.

Nitrate concentration at the CTD station was undetectable in the low-salinity surface waters that were flooding the eddy (not shown), suggesting nutrient-limited phytoplankton growth (Hassler et al., 2011). At a depth of 148 m, in the submerged layer, nitrate concentration was 1.7 mmol m$^{-3}$. This is a comparatively low but non-zero concentration (stations outside the eddy at 150 m had concentrations of $\sim 7$ mmol m$^{-3}$).

Vertical profiles of dissolved oxygen show that concentrations reached 20% above saturation in both the surface and submerged layers (Fig. 8). These are very high values, but appear to be confirmed based on the titration of bottle samples (see Discussion for more analysis). The concentration of oxygen roughly varied with fluorescence through the depth of the CTD cast (Fig. 8).

Shortly before separation (Fig. 7B), surface chlorophyll a concentration varied between 0.1 and 0.5 mg m$^{-3}$. To the northeast of the centre (35 20 S, 153 00 E) and along the shelfbreak to the west (34 40 S, 151 15 E) concentrations of up to 0.5 mg m$^{-3}$ occurred along flow streamlines, suggesting that injections of EAC water at this late stage of formation had an influence through either entrainment or stimulating phytoplankton growth.

In particular, the 10–20 km wide, 60 m deep filament of fresh EAC water at the shelfbreak sampled by the glider on 28 November (Fig. 5) contained up to 1.5 mg m$^{-3}$ (Fig. 9).

Ten days after separation surface chlorophyll a concentration clearly distinguished eddy water from that outside the eddy (Fig. 7C). At the centre of the eddy chlorophyll a concentrations were at their lowest (0.1 mg m$^{-3}$). At the edge, particularly to the east at the interface with an adjacent cold-core eddy, surface concentrations reached 0.8 mg m$^{-3}$. By early 2009 the surface chlorophyll a concentrations in the eddy were similar to the surrounding water (Fig. 7D). The eddy itself was still a distinct feature with sea-level elevation and temperature anomalies of 0.5 m and 2 °C relative to the surrounding waters (Fig. 3D). Both eddy and surrounding waters had low surface chlorophyll a concentrations consistent with a mid-summer minimum typical for the region. The surface characteristics of the eddy from October 2008 to January 2009 conform to the view that EAC WCEs are unproductive islands of tropical waters advected south into the western Tasman Sea.

A sub-surface maximum for chlorophyll a concentration with values up to 2 mg m$^{-3}$ was evident along the glider transect (Fig. 9). Within the eddy the sub-surface chlorophyll maximum was found in the pycnocline formed at the interface of the surface and submerged layers. The pycnocline was found at a depth of between 50 and 100 m, with a thickness of 20–40 m. The closest the glider came to the centre was on 2–3 December (Fig. 2) during which time the sub-surface maximum lifted from 85 to 60 m. It is difficult to determine whether this relatively small depth change was a product of the formation of the eddy, a local (in time and/or space) instability such as internal waves, or vertical velocities at the centre. In any case, variations in surface mixed layer depth near the centre were no bigger than those in the rest of the eddy (Fig. 9).

Dissolved oxygen in the surface and submerged layers in late November (Fig. 10B) was significantly less than it was in mid October (Fig. 8). Coloured dissolved organic matter (CDOM) inferred from fluorescence was low in the eddy surface mixed layer (Fig. 10A) presumably due to photo-oxidation of CDOM to optically inactive forms of DOC (Oubelkheir et al., 2005; Niewiadomska et al., 2008; Nelson et al., 2010). In the low light levels of the submerged layer where photo-oxidation is limited, CDOM concentration was elevated. In the pycnocline where there was elevated chlorophyll a concentration, oxygen was less than saturated, but higher than in the submerged layer.

4. Discussion

The phenomenon of less-dense water flowing over the top of a WCE has been described for a number of boundary current systems, and has been referred to using terms such as submerging
(Jeffrey and Hallegraeff, 1987) and flooding (Tranter et al., 1982) in the Tasman Sea, overwashing (Hitchcock et al., 1985; Chapman and Nof, 1988) in the North Atlantic, overriding (Tomasada, 1978) in the North Pacific and surface injection (Dietze et al., 2009) in the eastern Indian Ocean. In each of these systems, the vertical transport associated with surface flooding represents, for a few weeks at a time, a significant sink of water for the boundary current.

4.1. Rate of submergence

The rate of submergence of the original surface mixed layer can be estimated from Argo profiles leading up to the separation of the eddy (Fig. 4D). No flooding had occurred on 23 August (Fig. 4B), 102 days before the eddy separated. Flooding ceased when the eddy fully separated from the EAC flow. Assuming submergence of 70 m based on the average depth of the surface mixed layer along the glider transect, the submergence rate is 0.7 m d$^{-1}$. Spread evenly across the eddy surface area of $143 \times 10^9$ m$^2$ implies a vertical transport of $\sim 1.1$ Sv for 100 days.

During some periods of flooding submergence may have been much quicker. The vertical profiles from Argo float 5900562 (Fig. 4D) show that the bottom of the submerged layer dropped 80 m over 21 days, representing a submergence rate of 3.8 m d$^{-1}$, and a vertical transport if averaged over the whole eddy of $\sim 6.3$ Sv. A vertical transport of between 1.1 and 6.3 Sv represents a significant fraction of the average October southward flow of the EAC of 30 Sv (Ridgway and Godfrey, 1997).

The 1.1–6.3 Sv of submergence is driven by EAC flow. The depth of EAC filaments flowing over the WCE are 60–80 m. In order to achieve 5 Sv in a 70 m deep flow moving at 1 m s$^{-1}$ requires a
Fig. 7. Surface chlorophyll a concentration (Aqua MODIS, Level 2) with geostrophic velocity calculated from sea-level anomaly as shown in Fig. 3. Arrows represent Lagrangian paths for the 24 h leading up to the midday satellite image. The 200 m isobath is shown as a grey line. The Panels are (A) 93 days, (B) 3 days before eddy separation with glider path shown as white and the glider location on the 30 November circled, (C) 10 days, and (D) 47 days after.

Fig. 8. Vertical profiles of oxygen concentration, concentration of oxygen at 100% saturation calculated from temperature and salinity (Weiss, 1970) and fluorescence from the CTD station 12 at 32° 50’ S, 154° 00’ E on 14 October 2008 at 12:30 am AEST. At depths 21 and 148 m, oxygen concentration obtained from bottle samples is indicated by a grey cross. Temperature and salinity profiles for the same location are shown in Fig. 4C. The depth range of the submerged layer at the time is indicated with a thick line. The location of CTD station relative to the eddy centre is given in Fig. 1.
width of 71 km. Such a broad filament is visible in Fig. 3A and B. Given the rate of transport, its warmth and freshness, the EAC has the potential to regularly submerge the cooler and saltier waters of the western Tasman Sea.

4.2. Phytoplankton response to flooding

The phytoplankton response to flooding in the 2008 WCE studied in this paper can be contrasted with the response in the only previous carefully studied flooded WCE off southeast Australia—Eddy J of 1979. Eddy J had a surface mixed layer depth during September of between 300 and 350 m (Tranter et al., 1982; Brandt et al., 1981). In October soon after flooding began, the pycnocline was at a depth of between 75 and 100 m on the perimeter, but only 25–50 m at the centre (Tranter et al., 1982). The submerged layer had a salinity of 35.71 ± 0.01 and a nitrate concentration of ~1.3 mmol N m⁻³ (Tranter et al., 1982). No evidence was found of elevated chlorophyll a concentrations in the pycnocline or submerged layer. Instead the submerged layer was assumed to contain unused nutrients due to light limitation of phytoplankton growth (Tranter et al., 1982).

In the 2008 WCE of this study, the high resolution sampling by the Slocum glider revealed a deep chlorophyll maximum in the pycnocline. The concentration of chlorophyll a reached ~2 mg m⁻³, suggesting that the nutrients in the pycnocline were taken up by phytoplankton. What allowed phytoplankton in the 2008 WCE to form a sub-surface chlorophyll maximum when Eddy J did not?

The development of a chlorophyll maximum in the pycnocline depends on sufficient light levels to allow the elevated nutrients to be consumed. To consider light limitation of phytoplankton growth in a flooded WCE, both the light level integrated over the surface mixed layer, and light at a fixed depth need to be estimated (Fig. 11). Before flooding, phytoplankton are mixed throughout the surface mixed layer, and the light available for photosynthesis can be approximated as the depth-integrated light from the surface to the base of the mixed layer (Fig. 11, black contours). After flooding, the phytoplankton community that is trapped in the pycnocline remains at a fixed depth as density gradients prevent vertical movement (Fig. 11, grey contours).

Vertical profiles of temperature and salinity can be used to estimate the light history of the phytoplankton found in the pycnocline after flooding. Before separation, the surface layer of 1979 Eddy J was mixing to depths of 300+ m, and the depth-integrated light levels were between 1.5 and 2.5 mol photon m⁻² d⁻¹ (Fig. 11, CTD228 and CTD241). After separation when the pycnocline lay between 75 and 100 m, the light at this depth was between 0.4 and 1.5 mol photon m⁻² d⁻¹ (Fig. 11, CTD283 and CTD293).

Before flooding, observations show the 2008 WCE had surface mixed layer depths of 220 and 275 m (Figs. 4A and 8). The depth-integrated light level at these times was ~1.5–2.5 mol photon m⁻² d⁻¹ (Fig. 11, shown as black symbols). Although there were no vertical profiles from the 2008 WCE in September, it is likely that the mixed layer would have been shallower than Eddy J at the same time of the year. After flooding, the pycnocline was between 60 and 100 m deep (Fig. 9). For three Argo float profiles, the shipboard CTD station and the glider transect, the light fixed at the depth of the pycnocline was 0.7–4 mol photon m⁻² d⁻¹ (Fig. 11, shown as grey symbols).

Due to a slightly shallower surface mixed layer before flooding, phytoplankton in the pycnocline of the 2008 WCE were exposed to slightly higher light intensities than Eddy J. Additionally, while flooding increased the available light for the phytoplankton in the pycnocline of the 2008 WCE, it decreased light for the phytoplankton community in Eddy J.

The lowest light level in which light-limited phytoplankton growth exceeds respiration, following Sverdrup’s critical depth hypothesis, has been estimated for the North Atlantic to be ~1.3 mol photon m⁻² d⁻¹ (Siegel et al., 2002). While light exposure for the phytoplankton communities in the pycnocline of the 2008 WCE only slightly exceeds Eddy J, it is above the threshold for a viable population.

For any surface mixed layer (not necessarily in an eddy), whether flooding increases the light available to the submerged phytoplankton community depends on the depth of the original
mixed layer, the transparency of the flooding waters, and the depth to which community is submerged. The surface mixed layers of EAC WCEs have been known to extend to a depth of greater than 400 m (Tranter et al., 1982, Argo float 5900871 on 19 September 2009 at 35°28'S, 153°28'E), and flooding layers of the relatively clear EAC can be less than 30 m deep (Fig. 4B, top...
layer). Surface flooding by EAC waters in the western Tasman Sea, a common occurrence, has the potential to increase light exposure of submerged phytoplankton populations and create deep chlorophyll maximum in the pycnocline and the top of the submerged layer.

4.3. Remineralization in the submerged layer

The process of remineralization was not measured directly, but can be considered through calculations of changing oxygen concentration and CDOM concentration (Nelson et al., 2010). These calculations assume that the submerged layer is a distinct water mass between mid October and early December, and that the observations of oxygen concentration are sufficiently accurate to measure a change. The salinity of the submerged layer remained unchanged within measurement precision, demonstrating little mixing with the fresher water either above or below the layer (Fig. 4). The quoted accuracy of the different oxygen sensors is 5% or less (see Methods), while the observed change in oxygen between mid October and early December is up to 40%.

The supersaturation of oxygen at the CTD station during the flooding is exceptionally high (Fig. 8), but within the range of observations globally (Garcia et al., 2006). The high oxygen concentrations may be explained by the recent release of light limited phytoplankton growth described above, which drew the nitrate levels to below the detection limit in the surface (Hassler et al., 2011, Table 2). Atmospheric conditions were also calm leading up to the measurements, suggesting a relatively low air-sea flux of oxygen. However, the concentration of chlorophyll \( \text{a} \) was only \( 0.12 \pm 0.00 \text{ mg m}^{-3} \) at the surface, and \( 0.19 \pm 0.17 \text{ mg m}^{-3} \) at 70 m (Hassler et al., 2011, Table 2). Some doubt remains as to whether this phytoplankton biomass is capable of super-saturating the surface and submerged layer by 20%. Nonetheless, with both sensor and bottle samples confirming this level of oxygen saturation, calculations of oxygen change are based on a 20% supersaturated state in mid October.

The light levels for most of the submerged layer will be below 1.3 mol photon m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 11), in a light zone in which respiration is expected to exceed production (Siegel et al., 2002). Soon after the layer was submerged, the dissolved oxygen concentrations in the submerged layer were between 5 and 5.3 ml L\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 8). After separation, 50–60 days later, the oxygen concentration in the submerged layer varied between 4 and 4.6 ml L\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 10B), a consumption of \( \sim 1 \text{ ml L}^{-1} \). This corresponds to the respiration of 1.0 ml L\(^{-1}\) x 1000 L m\(^{-3}\) x 1.42 mg O\(_2\) ml\(^{-1}\) x 1/32 mmol O\(_2\) (mg O\(_2\))\(^{-1}\) x 16/138 mmol N (mmol O\(_2\))\(^{-1}\) = 5.15 mmol N m\(^{-3}\).

The respiration of a significant quantity of organic matter is consistent with the elevated CDOM fluorescence measured in early December. In a similar flooded WCE (Eddy Mario of 1982), Jeffrey and Hallegraeff (1987) found that detrital pigments, identified from chromatography and spectral curve analysis, were dominant below 100 m depth, particularly at the top of the two isothermal layers. The increase in CDOM with oxygen depletion in the WCE is a trend seen on a basin scale with increases in CDOM with apparent oxygen utilisation (AOU) in the Pacific and Indian Oceans (Nelson et al., 2010).

4.4. Eddy mass and carbon budget

As a result of their large size, occurrence a few times a year and generally southward migration, EAC WCEs play a significant role in both the mass and carbon budgets of the western Tasman Sea. For a submerged layer \( \sim 200 \text{ m thick, the volume of water transport from surface contact to below the mixed layer during the formation of the eddy is} \sim 28 \times 10^{12} \text{ m}^3 \). Using the calculation of respired oxygen above with a C:O\(_2\) ratio of 106/138 (Kirk, 1994), \( \sim 0.4 \text{ g C m}^{-3} \) of organic carbon was sequestered in the layer, for a total of sequestered organic carbon in the submerged layer of 200 m x 143 x 10\(^9\) m\(^2\) x 0.4 g C m\(^{-3}\), or 11.4 x 10\(^{12}\) g C.

The downward transport within the eddy must be accompanied by upward transport in the surrounding ocean. An analytical modelling study of the sinking of an idealised Gulf Stream ring suggests that the water within the submerged layer spirals out of the centre of the eddy at depth, and can even be entrained into the surface flooding (Chapman and Nof, 1988). Low salinity (Fig. 5) and oxygen (Fig. 10B) water to the north of the eddy (900 km along the transect) indicates that the submergence drives upwelling around the edges of the eddy, although entrainment into the flooding waters is not seen. The high CDOM and presumably DIC concentrations of the upwelled water illustrate that the net fluxes associated with the submergence may be offset by upwelling. In any case, the Tasman Sea generally absorbs atmospheric CO\(_2\) (Takahashi et al., 2002; Macdonald et al., 2009), and the surface waters at the CTD station (\( p \text{ CO}_2 \) of 325 \( \mu \text{atm} \)) were significantly below atmospheric. Furthermore, model simulations suggest upwelled waters in the Tasman Sea rarely outgas (Macdonald et al., 2009). So vertical transport associated with submerged layers is likely to increase regional oceanic carbon absorption.

4.5. Capped WCEs

As mentioned in the Introduction, EAC WCEs can have high surface productivity. Tranter et al. (1980) sampled a WCE off southeast Australia in mid September and mid November 1978 (Fig. 12). In September, the mixed layer depth was \( \sim 250 \text{ m} \) (Fig. 12C), and the surface chlorophyll \( \text{a} \) concentration was low. Two months later, a cap of \( \sim 0.5 \text{ C} \) warmer surface water formed due to solar heating (Fig. 12B), with decreased nitrate concentration (Fig. 12B) and elevated phytoplankton biomass. The elevated biomass is clear in the CZCS image of 21 November 1978 (Fig. 12E).

An even more extreme event occurred at the same time of the year in 1997 (Figs. 12D and F). Fortuitously, a geomagnetic field study in the region (Hitchman et al., 2000) sampled the eddy in September 1997. Like the early stages of the 1978 capped WCE sampled by Tranter et al. (1980), the 1997 eddy had a 230–270 m deep surface mixed layer with a nitrate concentration of \( \sim 3 \text{ mmol N m}^{-3} \) (Fig. 12A) and \( < 0.15 \text{ mg m}^{-3} \) surface chlorophyll \( \text{a} \) concentration (Fig. 12D). Two months later surface chlorophyll \( \text{a} \) increased by an order of magnitude (Fig. 12F). The 1997 WCE produced the most dramatic contrast between surface chlorophyll \( \text{a} \) concentration inside and outside an EAC WCE off southeast Australia in the satellite record (1978–1986, 1996–1997, 1997–2009).

The process of surface capping is only biologically significant if flooding has not already submerged the winter mixed layer. The EAC in spring is both fresher and warmer than in winter. While the WCE remains attached to the main EAC flow, surface flooding is likely to occur and surface chlorophyll concentrations will be determined by the biogeochemical properties of the flooding waters.

4.6. Summary

A suite of observations of a surface flooded WCE off southeast Australia in late 2008 reveal that: (1) the vertical transport in surface flooded WCEs off southeast Australia can account for a sizeable fraction of the EAC transport during eddy formation; (2) surface flooding can ease light limitation of phytoplankton growth in the pycnocline and even top of a submerged winter mixed layer and result in a sub-surface chlorophyll maximum; (3) depth-integrated chlorophyll \( \text{a} \) concentration in flooded WCEs can be equal to those that have incurred surface capping, despite an order of magnitude less surface chlorophyll \( \text{a} \). WCEs can have
drastically different biological responses depending on whether, and to what depth, the winter mixed layer is submerged. Future observational and theoretical work should focus on the factors leading up to separation of WCEs that determine whether surface flooding occurs, and if so, to what depth it submerges the winter surface mixed layer.
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